



Atty Docket No.: 31-CD-5530

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

G. Ian Rowlandson : Group Art Unit: 3626

Serial No.: 09/751,023 : Examiner: Gottschalk, M. A.

Filed: December 29, 2000

Title: AUTOMATED SCHEDULING OF EMERGENCY  
PROCEDURE BASED ON IDENTIFICATION  
OF HIGH-RISK PATIENT

Hon. Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Sir:

In response to the Final Rejection mailed on March 8, 2006 in the above-referenced patent application, the Applicant requests reconsideration in view of the following arguments.

REMARKS

In ¶ 3 of the Office Action, claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 11, 16, 19-21, 23 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Bayne (US 2005/0060198). The Applicant traverses this ground of rejection for the same reasons given in the Amendment filed on December 5, 2005 and for the following additional reasons.

In an attempt to demonstrate anticipation, the Examiner has selected various steps taught by Bayne and then combines those steps in a manner not taught by Bayne. In particular, the Examiner has combined steps performed after a clinician has been sent to a patient's home with steps

DO NOT ENTER  
5/8/06